

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradamark Office

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
863848		Address: COMMISSIONER OF Washington, D.C. 202	

E. g. MERSEREAU HAUGEN AND NIKOLAI 820 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3325

33M1

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3308 10 DATE MAILED: 04/19/93

910759.081

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ERSER

ADVISORY ACTION			
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			
is extended to run from the date of the Finel Rejection			
Continues to run + 1000 from the date of the Final Rejection			
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the dete for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuent to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth ebove.			
Appellant's Briet is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Applicant's response to the final rejection, tiled 4-5-9 has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to plece the application in condition for allowance:			
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:			
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.			
b. 7 They raise new issues that would require turther consideration and/or search. (See Note).			
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).			
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materielly reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
e. They present additional claims without cancelling e corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: The claims NOW CUTE THAT THE PHYSIOLOGICAL VEHICLE IS NOT FRANCE RETENTIVE. Claim 52 Now requires Penticle. Size appealer than Commissions:			
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in e separately filed amendment cencelling the non-ellowable claims.			
3. Upon the filing of an eppeal, the proposed amendment upwill be will not be, entered end the stetus of the cleims in this application would be as follows:			
Attowed claims:Claims objected to:Claims rejected:However;			
 a. The rejection of cleims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 			
4. The attidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has beer: considered but does not overcome the rejection.			
 The effidevit or exhibit will not be considered beceuse applicant has not shown good end sufficient reesons why it was not earlier presented. 			
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the exeminer.			
□ Other			
TOP			

DAVID ISABELLA PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 330**